EARLY MUSIC RECORDING AS MYTHOGRAPHY – MONTEVERDI
AND THE ‘OTHER’ VESPERS’

‘BRINGING MONTEVERDI’S VESPERS HOME’?

In 1989, John Eliot Gardiner led a performance at St Mark’s in Venice of Vespers music by Claudio Monteverdi – preserved in a print from 1610 – commonly known as the Vespri della Beata Vergine (hereinafter referred to as the ‘Vespers 1610’). In an introductory film to the recording, Gardiner reminds us that the Vespers 1610 were published in a print dedicated to the pope three years before Monteverdi arrived in Venice (1613) to start working as the maestro di cappella at St Mark’s church, yet he attempts to prove that there is a particular and not casual connection between Monteverdi’s masterpiece and the capital of the Venetian Republic, with its patron’s church. Further into the film, we learn a little more about Venice itself, including the special Marian devotion among its residents, and also several other details supposedly linking Monteverdi’s Vespers to Venice in general and St Mark’s in particular.¹ Gardiner goes as far as to describe his performance in Venice as ‘bringing Monteverdi’s music back to its source’.² This notion perdures in numerous contemporary

* This article summarizes briefly a chapter of my PhD dissertation: Bartłomiej Gembicki, Psalmy, mity i memy. Nieszpory w Wenecji od Willaerta do Gardinera [Psalms, myths and memes: Vespers in Venice from Willaert to Gardiner], Institute of Art of the Polish Academy of Sciences, 2020, pp. 148–231. I gratefully acknowledge the funding received through the HERA project ‘Sound Memories: The Musical Past in Late-Medieval and Early-Modern Europe’ (soundme.eu). This project has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement no. 649307

¹ Moreover, Gardiner looks for connections between the Vespers 1610 and St Mark’s by evoking Islamic connotations. First, he points out elements of the church which remind him of oriental architecture. Then, he states that one can notice the spirit of Islamic gardens in Monteverdi’s Vespers.

² Furthermore, he adds: ‘But were the Vespers of Monteverdi performed here in San Marco some time in Monteverdi’s lifetime? We simply can’t be sure, but it seems almost impossible to believe that they weren’t. […] It seems almost as if the Vespers really belonged here’. Vespers in Venice, directed by Jonathan Fulford,
musical activities, despite the fact that several musicological studies clearly state that there is no evidence of any connection between the Vespers 1610 and St Mark's. It is not my aim to ascertain whether in the seventeenth century there was any link between the Vespers of 1610 and St Mark's. Rather I wish to investigate the extent to which Gardiner’s (and similar) hypotheses and methods have influenced the contemporary understanding of Monteverdi’s 1610 Vespers and of seventeenth-century Vespers music at large. My interest in this issue prompted me to ask what exactly the expression ‘Monteverdi’s Vespers’ makes us think of in the context of music recordings? Which compositions, places, persons or motifs might it bring to mind? And how do record producers ‘help’ us to connect ‘Monteverdi’s Vespers’ with particular iconographical representations?

In order to answer these questions, I decided to limit my attention to the first thing we (usually) notice when we encounter a music album: its front cover design, which typically consists of two layers: text and iconographic motif(s). Although album covers have received quite a lot of attention in popular music studies, scholars working on so-called ‘early music’ have paid little notice to them.

For instance, in June 2017, the Bath Minerva Choir organized masterclass devoted to the Vespers 1610, ‘written for St Mark’s Venice’, as we learn from the choir’s website: http://bathminervachoir.co.uk/events/summer-weekend-workshop-and-master-class, accessed 7 June 2019.

as a small contribution to filling this lacuna and creating awareness of this aspect of
the reception of early music.
Leaving aside the debate about the authenticity and historicity of early music
performance which has been continuing for decades,\(^6\) I will address the influence
of phonography on contemporary reception of early music by recalling the concept
of myth. This term is not invoked here as referring to a ‘fake story’ which should
be dispelled by means of history and its methodology. It rather takes its inspiration
from cultural studies, where the word ‘myth’ can be understood as a shared ‘belief
or practice […] characterized by a certain structure’, where its essence is constituted
by the repetition of some elements.\(^7\) Therefore, mythography is treated here as a cul-
tural process of ordering (not always intentionally) and giving meaning to particular
phenomena. Consequently, certain beliefs are passed on through copying (often with
mutations), usually without any verification of their origins. This essay will be devoted
to recordings of Monteverdi’s Vespers, since they represent nearly 50% of existing
recordings of Vespers polyphonic repertory from the sixteenth to the mid-eighteenth
century. This state of things of course attests to the huge disproportion between
phonographic recordings and the musical sources preserved in libraries and archives.
Conclusions will be based on material encompassing around five hundred albums
(including re-releases) produced over the period 1952–2019.\(^8\) Although some myths
will be confronted with the narratives of academic research, it is not my aim to
criticise performers for advancing sometimes bold hypotheses or to separate ‘pure
historical facts’ from contemporary musical mythology.

WHO OR WHAT ADVERTISES MONTEVERDI’S VESPERS 1610

The following analysis is based on the assumption that our knowledge of early
repertories is shaped not only by the music itself and by the way it is performed,
but also (perhaps in particular) by the methods employed to present it. To highlight
this point, I now wish to take a look at the front covers of some recordings of the
Vespers 1610. The primary functions of album covers include protecting, advertising
and informing, including about genre.\(^9\) The advertising function serves to encourage
and persuade a potential buyer, while the information links the album cover to the

---

\(^{6}\) Cf. fn. 5. In the context of music recording, it is worth quoting Nick Wilson: ‘As Early Music’s critics
have enjoyed pointing out, there is nothing more inauthentic than recording’; N. Wilson, The Art of
Re-enchantment, p. 206.

\(^{7}\) Marcin Napiórkowski, Mitologia współczesna [Contemporary mythology], Warszawa 2018, p. 15.
Obviously, given the different approaches applied by scholars and the popularity of the term in
common parlance, there are plenty of different and sometimes contradictory definitions of ‘myth’. For

\(^{8}\) A complete list of the analysed recordings can be found in B. Gembicki, Psalmy, mity i memy,

\(^{9}\) M. Torzecki, Okładki płyta, pp. 67–87.
recorded material and helps the listener to classify the album in terms of genre. By
means of example, let us consider the cover of a CD album entitled Monteverdi &
Gabriele. Easter Celebration at St. Marks in Venice 1600 (Brilliant Classics 2018). This
cover includes a reproduction of the famous painting by Gentile Bellini showing
a procession in St Mark’s Square (1496, Venice, Galleria dell’Accademia). Two fea-
tures of this cover must be noted: Bellini’s painting was created about a hundred
years before the music recorded on the CD was composed, and it does not represent
an Easter procession. Nonetheless, on the album cover it perfectly fulfills an informa-
tive function: it shows the celebration of a festival in St Mark’s Square, a festival
which took place in the distant past. The use of such telling (and ultimately mislead-
ing, from a historical point of view) iconography can be understood in terms of the
imperative of visual representation. More than a simple illustration for the music
project, it constitutes a kind of confirmation of its authenticity, like a witness from
the past. Although the painting does not correspond to the title (and content) of the
album on a historical level, it bestows credibility upon the entire music project. The
painting of an old master such as Bellini, if only because of its age, becomes evidence,
or – as Peter Burke put it – an eyewitness.11 In the context of the Easter Celebration
album, a ‘witness’ in a case in which it never participated.

Keeping in mind the power of iconography in negotiating the contents of al-
bums, let us now turn our gaze to the covers of Vespers music. Of all the albums
analysed (including other composers besides Monteverdi), just a few present the
performers (mostly the conductor).12 A beloved iconographic choice for the Vespers
1610 is instead Marian iconography. Record labels avail themselves of representations
of various stages in Mary’s life, linked to her feast days: Annunciation, Christmas,
Coronation, etc. This in itself shows an interesting tendency: grieving themes, such
as the Passion or the Dormition, are consistently avoided.

As with the Easter Celebration album discussed above, most examples from the
group of ‘Marian’ covers employ images produced long before Monteverdi, deliber-
ately or otherwise ‘ageing’ his Vespers. For instance, as many as four album covers use
Simone Martini and Lippo Memmi’s Annunciation (ca. 1333) from the Uffizi Gallery
in Florence (see Fig. 1).13 A considerable proportion of covers reproduce paintings by

---

10 It is also not a Corpus Christi procession, as some scholars claim, e.g. Ian Fenlon, ‘Venice. Theatre of
the World’, in: The Renaissance. From the 1470s to the End of the 16th Century, ed. Ian Fenlon, London
1989, p. 116. For the iconographic programme of the Bellini painting, see Elizabeth Rodini, ‘Describing
12 See, for example, Claudio Monteverdi. Vespers, Marco Mencoboni, Pan Classics 2017; Monteverdi. Ad
Vesperum Assumptionis Sanctae Mariae Virginis, Marco Gemmini, Amadeus 2017. On just a few covers,
Monteverdi’s works are advertised by the composer himself.
13 Claudio Monteverdi, Vespro della Beata Vergine, Irenéu Segarra, deutsche harmonia mundi 1976; Vespro
della Beata Vergine, Jürgen Jürgens, ambitus 1987; Vespers of the Blessed Virgin, The Scholars Baroque
Venetian masters of the Quattro- and Cinquecento, like Titian, Carpaccio, Cima de Conegliano and Giovanni Bellini. Relatively few albums, meanwhile, present an iconography based on the work of painters contemporary to Monteverdi.

Another iconographic group resorts to motifs that we can label as ‘Venetian’. Some of these were probably influenced by Gardiner, although the most popular Venetian motif (the church of St Mark) was first used in the context of the Vespers 1610 earlier. Within this group, we find covers featuring St Mark’s Square, mosaics and domes. The situation is similar with regard to posters advertising concert performances of the Vespers, where we even find gondolas and Venetian masks.

---

14 For instance, Titian’s Assunta from the Venetian church of Santa Maria Gloriosa dei Frari can be seen on the cover of Claudio Monteverdi. Vespro della beata Vergine, Jameson Marvin, Titanic 1983; Claudio Monteverdi. Vespro della beata Vergine, Philippe Herreweghe, Harmonia Mundi 2006; Monteverdi. Vespers 1610, John Butt, Linn 2017.


17 See, for example, bills of the following concerts: Claudio Monteverdi. Vespers of 1610, City of Bristol Choir, His Majesty’s Sagbutts and Cornetts, D. Ogden, 18 June 2011, Clifton Cathedral, Bristol; Monteverdi. Vespers of 1610, soloists and Orchestra d’Amici, J. Dunford, 6 April 2019, Ripon Cathedral; Claudio Monteverdi. Marien-Vesper, Chor St. Martin, Schola Cantorum Gallensis, Capella San Marco, F. Kirk, 1 September 2019. On the poster advertising a performance of the Vespers 1610 by the Japanese groups Fons Floris and Contraponto at Tokyo Cathedral on 22 June 2015, we see a picture of performers ‘pasted’ onto a photograph of the St Mark interior by means of photomontage.
One cover features a painting by James Muller (1839, Bury Art Museum) showing the Venetian church of Santa Maria della Salute (only completed in 1681), although in the sleeve notes we read that the musicians, when choosing the forces, took into consideration the fact that the Vespers could have been performed for the first time in the Basilica of Sant’Andrea in Mantua.\footnote{Claudio Monteverdi. Vespers of 1610, Jeannette Sorrell, Avie 2010.}

It is interesting that on record covers of Monteverdi’s Vespers 1610, Mantuan motifs are conspicuously absent (even if some albums were recorded in Mantua\footnote{Claudio Monteverdi. Vespro della Beata Vergine 1610, Jordi Savall, Astrée Auvidis 1989; Claudio Monteverdi. Vespers, Marco Mencoboni, Pan Classics 2017.}). Even the Madonnas by Rubens do not feature, although the painter was active in Mantua at roughly the same time as Monteverdi. The only ‘Mantuan’ cover I am aware of accompanies the Vespers recorded by Andrew Parrott, and displays Rubens’s painting depicting the Holy Trinity adored by the Gonzaga family (1604–1605, Palazzo Ducale, Mantua).\footnote{Claudio Monteverdi, Vespro della Beata Vergine, Andrew Parrott, EMI 1984. This painting was previously used for a recording of Mantuan sacred music (not including Monteverdi): Musiche della Basilica dei Gonzaga di S. Barbara in Mantova, Lino Leali, Ares 1980.} All in all, cover designers more often refer to the composer’s Venetian future than to his Mantuan present. The established wisdom seems to be that
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\footnote{Claudio Monteverdi. Vespers of 1610, Jeannette Sorrell, Avie 2010.}

\footnote{Claudio Monteverdi. Vespro della Beata Vergine 1610, Jordi Savall, Astrée Auvidis 1989; Claudio Monteverdi. Vespers, Marco Mencoboni, Pan Classics 2017.}

\footnote{Claudio Monteverdi, Vespro della Beata Vergine, Andrew Parrott, EMI 1984. This painting was previously used for a recording of Mantuan sacred music (not including Monteverdi): Musiche della Basilica dei Gonzaga di S. Barbara in Mantova, Lino Leali, Ares 1980.}
Monteverdi’s Vespers are a forward-looking composition, as Gardiner maintains. We also find no Roman motifs or motifs connected to Pope Paul V, to whom the print containing the Vespers 1610 was dedicated. In his ‘stead’, we have the kneeling Julius II from Raphael’s Room of Heliodorus (see Fig. 2), which may represent a distant allusion to ‘Palestinian iconography’ (Palestrina’s first book of masses famously depicts the composer kneeling in front of Pope Julius III). Yet the reason for using this portrait in the context of Monteverdi’s Vespers is not quite clear. Moreover, as Gardiner notes, Monteverdi ultimately failed to receive a post in Rome, and his print (including the motet Nigra sum which Gardiner regards as ‘inappropriate’ for Roman circles) could not have been well received there – unlike in Venice, of course... In this narrative, the ‘musical modernity’ of Monteverdi’s Vespers reinforces the connection to Venice, the most ‘modern’ musical centre of seventeenth-century Italy.

**OTHER VESPERS BY MONTEVERDI AND HIS FELLOW COMPOSERS**

The Vespers 1610 represent the sole example in the extant oeuvre of Monteverdi where all the compositions contained in a collection form a single (although, from a liturgical point of view, perhaps not entirely cohesive) Vespers service dedicated to a specific saint. We know from some accounts contemporaneous to Monteverdi about a number of Vespers services during which he led the cappella (and probably presented his own compositions). Moreover, there are some settings of Vesper psalms and the Magnificat by Monteverdi which survive in his prints from 1641 and 1650, although they are not ordered in a particular Vespers service as the compositions in the 1610 print. The source-documented practice of selecting psalms, antiphons, etc. for the needs of particular services has encouraged musicians to produce new arrangements of Vespers cycles for various occasions.

Present-day musicians define such work frequently with the capacious and authoritative term ‘reconstruction’ (Gardiner uses the rather enigmatic ‘re-creation’). I do not set out to criticise procedures of that type or to scrupulously verify the

---

source context, although it should be pointed out that reconstructors rarely address in liner notes the degree of verisimilitude of the compilations they present. With regard to the reception of such reconstructions, another issue that needs to be mentioned is that not all listeners have the opportunity to consult the liner notes of an album, where some procedures applied by the reconstructors can be revealed. This refers particularly to the users of streaming platforms, who usually can access only the track list and the album’s front cover.25

In the discourse staged through phonography, Vespers are not only re-created or reconstructed, but even ‘discovered’, as we learn from the description of one of the recordings of Vespers music by Monteverdi conceived by Denis Stevens (Christmas Vespers, see Table 1). What was needed to make this ‘discovery’ possible was liturgical and musicological knowledge allowing dispersed compositions to be merged and repaired (according to Stevens). On the back cover of the recording, there is a short description entitled ‘The discovery of Monteverdi’s Christmas Vespers by Professor Denis Stevens’, where we read that ‘the composer’s intentions have been honoured, the errors of his publisher corrected, and the Christmas Vespers restored to their rightful place in the Monteverdian repertory’.

It should be noted that the majority of recordings analysed contain not only psalms and the Magnificat, but also other items deemed necessary to attain a plausible recreation of the sound of a liturgical celebration. In the case of the Vespers 1610, Monteverdi’s music is often accompanied by plainsong Marian antiphons, the anonymity of which supposedly does not disturb our understanding of the concept of authorship in relation to these works.26 In fact, quite rarely do musicians use pieces by other composers: this state of things can be interpreted as the desire to respect the supposed ‘integrity’ of the repertoire contained in the print of 1610. However, on some recordings, musicians change the original order of the pieces and reject one of the two settings of the Magnificat, nearly always the ‘more modest’ (for six parts). This recombination of elements makes virtually each successive recording essentially ‘different’. In the case of recordings of ‘other Vespers by Monteverdi’ or by other composers, musicians frequently confine themselves to psalms and the Magnificat, with perhaps plainsong antiphons, or else they look for motets, hymns and instrumental works from other sets by the particular composer. It is important to note that Vespers albums thus constructed are nearly always attributed to the composer who wrote the psalms, even if the duration of the other compositions lengthens them considerably (see Table 1).


26 On the delicate matter of the modern (and often anachronistic) understanding of the ontology of early music works and their authorship, see, for instance, J. Butt, Playing with History, pp. 53–95; N. Wilson, The Art of Re-enchantment, pp. 40–42.
Thanks to the reconstruction practice just discussed, we can find in record shops (or on streaming platforms) Monteverdi Vespers for the feast days of St John the Baptist, confessors, martyrs, Christmas, Archangel Gabriel, St Joseph, Ascension Day and St Barbara, as well as other ‘Marian Vespers’. The relationship between some of these recordings and the Vespers 1610 is expressed very often in booklets or on covers: we learn that some ‘Monteverdi Vespers’ are by no means ‘inferior’ to those from 1610, passing over the question of the extent to which they are in fact by Monteverdi.27 The appearance of ‘new’ Marian Vespers by Monteverdi, often notated with dates, could cause quite some confusion for the listener. When seeing a disc entitled Vespro della Beata Vergine, we can no longer be sure which Vespers we are dealing with.28

Table 1. Recordings of ‘other’ Vespers by Monteverdi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title of the compilation</th>
<th>Sources of Monteverdi’s music29</th>
<th>Other composers on the album</th>
<th>Conductor / music director</th>
<th>Label and year of release</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Second Vespers for the Feast of Santa Barbara</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>G. Amigone; Anon. (chant); P. Nicholson (organ improvisation); G.P. da Palestrina</td>
<td>H. Christophers</td>
<td>Hyperion 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vespri solenni per la festa di San Marco</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>Anon. (chant); G. B. Buonamente; G. Gabrieli; F. Usper</td>
<td>R. Alessandri</td>
<td>Naïve 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vespri di S. Giovanni Battista</td>
<td>1641</td>
<td>Anon. (chant); N. Bazzino; D. Castello G. Gabrieli; A. Grandi</td>
<td>G. Leonhardt</td>
<td>Philips 1988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

27 See description on the back cover of Eternal Monteverdi, Vespro della Beata Vergine 1650, Roland Wilson, deutsche harmonia mundi 2017, where we read: ‘His ‘other Marian Vespers’, taken from this publication [the 1650s print] is very different to the famous 1610 Vespers but in no way inferior and really deserves equal fame’. On most of the albums analysed here, the titles come from contemporary musicians or musicologists: all of them in the case of ‘other Monteverdi Vespers’ and the clear majority in the case of Vespers by other composers.

28 For example: Monteverdi. Vespers of the Blessed Virgin, Jonathan Ofir, CN 2013.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Performers</th>
<th>Publisher/Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vespro della Festa di San Giovanni Battista</td>
<td>1641, 1650</td>
<td>D. Castello</td>
<td>P. Vorwerk Records 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesperae in Nativitate Sti. Joannis Baptistae</td>
<td>1618/1627, 1620, 1625/1, 1641, 1650</td>
<td>N. Bazzini; H. Bildstein; C. Erbach; L.; H.L. Haßler; L. Viadana</td>
<td>F. Raml MDG Scene 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vespro di San Giuseppe</td>
<td>1641</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>C. Cavina Glossa 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vespro solenne di San Lorenzo</td>
<td>1610, 1625/1, 1641, 1651</td>
<td>G.B. Buonamente; F. Cavalli</td>
<td>D. Fasolis The Classic Voice 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vespro di San Gabriele Arcangelo</td>
<td>1641</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>C. Cavina Glossa 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad Vesperas Beatae Maria Virginis. Monteverdi. Grandi</td>
<td>1610, 1625/1, 1641, 1650</td>
<td>Anon.; P. Despont (organ improv.); A. Grandi</td>
<td>L. Gendre Cascavelle 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eternal Monteverdi. Vespro Della Beata Vergine 1650</td>
<td>1625/1, 1641, 1650, 1660?</td>
<td>A. Grandi; M. Neri; A. Rigatti</td>
<td>R. Wilson Deutshe harmonia mundi 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vespro per la Salute. 1650</td>
<td>1618/1627, 1624, 1625/2, 1641, 1650</td>
<td>G. De Buono; F. Cavalli; M. A. Ferro; A. Grandi; T. Merula; C. Monteverdi; G. Picchi; G. Scarani; M. Uccellini</td>
<td>F. Lassarre Pierre Verany 1997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

30 Mentioned on some recordings as the source for the Sancta Maria, succurre miseris is the later reprint of this piece which appeared in Johann Donfrid, Promptuarii Musici..., Strasbourg 1627.
32 Issued as disc 2 in: Claudio Monteverdi, Selva morale e spirituale, Claudio Cavina, Glossa 2008.
33 Issued as disc 1 in: ibid.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Composers</th>
<th>Editions</th>
<th>Recordings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ad Vesperum Assumptionis Sanctae Mariae Virginis</td>
<td>Anon. (chant) M. Gemmani</td>
<td>1618/1627</td>
<td>Amadeus 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vêpres d’un confesseur</td>
<td>Anon. (chant) E. Lassere</td>
<td>1641</td>
<td>Zig Zag Territoires 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vêpres à des Saints Martyres</td>
<td>Anon. (chant) E. Lassere</td>
<td>1641</td>
<td>Zig Zag Territoires 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christmas Vespers</td>
<td>Anon. (chant) K.G. Nanfred</td>
<td>1641</td>
<td>CLASSICO: 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christmas Vespers</td>
<td>Anon. (chant) D. Stevens</td>
<td>1641</td>
<td>Midland Bank 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christmas Vespers</td>
<td>Anon. (chant) R. Paczian</td>
<td>1641</td>
<td>Bach Musica New Zealand 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesperae in Nativitate Domini</td>
<td>C.M. Cozzolani; G. Gabrieli</td>
<td>1641</td>
<td>Eufoda 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vespera in Natale 1633</td>
<td>G. Bassano; A. Gabrieli, G. Gabrieli, A. Grandi</td>
<td>1641</td>
<td>Cäcilienchor 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesper zum Fest Christi Himmelfahrt</td>
<td>Anon. (chant) H. Arman</td>
<td>1641</td>
<td>Capriccio 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Other Vespers</td>
<td>G. Gabrieli; D. Castello; I. Donati; G. Frescobaldi; G. P. Da Palestrina / G. B. Bovicelli; E. Usper; L. Viadana;</td>
<td>1624 1641</td>
<td>Decca 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceremonial Vespers in St Mark’s</td>
<td>Anon. (chant) D. Stevens</td>
<td>1641</td>
<td>Schwann 1981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

35 Issued as disc 2 in: ibid.
36 This is a reissue of the album Christmas Vespers, Denis Stevens, Midland Bank 1979.
It is worth noting that the reconstructions analysed here are almost never re-recorded. By way of example, no one has made a new recording of Monteverdi’s Vespers for St Barbara. Similarly, musicians rarely use the material of the Vespers 1610 in their reconstructions, except in some cases where only one piece from the print is used (mainly Deus in adjutorium – Monteverdi’s only setting of this text – or one of two settings of the Magnificat), although the practice they continuously invoke perhaps ought to induce them to do so.37 This suggests that the Vespers 1610 are perceived – to a certain extent – as a cohesive ‘work’ which is not to be dismembered, not even in order to produce new ‘historically informed’ reconstructions.

Now I would like to turn for a moment to Vespers by other Italian composers, sometimes described in booklets as ‘no worse than Monteverdi’s’.38 Some of these recordings not so much refer to Monteverdi’s Vespers 1610 as actually rival them.39 Graham Dixon’s reconstruction of Monteverdi’s Second Vespers for the Feast of Santa Barbara (see the first record in Table 1)40 may have encouraged musicians to construct other Vespers for the Chapel of St Barbara in Mantua, this time by Amante Franzoni, making use of extant works by that composer in other sources.41 Yet the service opens with Deus in adjutorium from Monteverdi’s 1610 print.

Furthermore, the connotation of an album by referring to the year 1610 is no longer reserved solely for Monteverdi, and no longer is there just a single example of ‘1610 Marian Vespers’. We also have ‘1610 Marian Vespers’ by Giovanni Paolo Cima, which no longer comprise psalms, but rather motets and a Magnificat setting from his Concerti Ecclesiastici (Milan 1610) and other sources (see Fig. 3).42 Close to that date, we also have ‘1612 Italian Vespers’ reconstructing Vespers for the Feast of Our Lady of the Rosary and at the same time the anniversary of the Battle of Lepanto.43 Dates appear on many other recordings as well. They may be linked to other issues, which often cannot be interpreted on the basis of the cover alone. Some of them probably create deliberate intertextual reference to Monteverdi’s Vespers of 1610.

37 For more details, see B. Gembicki, Psalm, mity i memy, pp. 180–198.
38 See, for example, the back cover of the album: Giovanni Paolo Cima. Vespro della Beata Vergine, Daniela Dolci, PAN CLASSICS 2015.
39 The title of one album by I Faggiolini does this in a direct and humorous way: Monteverdi. The Other Vespers, Robert Hollingworth, Decca 2017.
41 Amante Franzoni. Vespro per la Festa di Santa Barbara, Francesco Moi, Brilliant 2016.
42 The front cover design is based on the painting The Virgin in Prayer by Sassoferrato (1640/50, National Gallery, London), as in the case of Monteverdi’s Vespers 1610, John E. Gardiner, Decca 1995. Yet this painting and this type of iconographical representation of Mary are quite common with sacred music recordings.
43 Italian Vespers 1612, Robert Hollingworth, Decca 2012.
Via such a link to Monteverdi’s Vespers of 1610 – which, as we have seen, are often ‘Veniceised’ – Vespers music in general is often presented through iconographies that evoke both Venice and St Mark’s. In fact, looking at some record covers and concert posters, one may gain the impression that Vespers were a particular speciality of Venetian composers working at St Mark’s. Among the records and concerts, one finds programmes entitled ‘Venetian Vespers’. Three albums, apart from sharing such a title, benefit (coincidentally?) from the same iconographical source for their cover design: a painting by seventeenth-century artist Joseph Heintz the Younger showing St Mark’s Square in Venice during carnival time (Rome, Galleria Doria-Pamphili, see Fig. 4).44 It is interesting to note that there are far fewer compilations referring to other cities, and those that exist do not use an adjective from the name of the city (the only exception being a single recording of ‘Roman Vespers’, including music by George Frideric Handel).45

In the context of ‘Venetian Vespers’ hypothetically related to St Mark’s, the credibility of the hypothesis may be strengthened by employing not only pictures showing the church but also photos of performers situated inside the church. Among the relatively numerous productions of Vespers related to St Mark’s, only a few have been recorded

at this venue – including albums by Gardiner and Marco Gemmani (Amadeus 2017). Rinaldo Alessandrini’s production entitled Monteverdi. Vesper per San Marco (Naïve 2014) – despite such plans – was ultimately not recorded there. The recording took place in the church of St. Barbara in Mantua – another ‘authentic’ venue associated with Monteverdi, but not with the reconstruction presented in the recording.46 The album Monteverdi in San Marco (Arcana 2017) by Odhecaton was also not recorded in the church it refers to. Nevertheless, the booklet contains pictures of the musicians standing in front of the chancel at St Mark’s during a concert.

The phenomenon of ‘Venetian Vespers’ linked to St Mark’s may be interpreted as a form of sanmarcocentrismo, which emphasises the disproportioned attention that modern scholars devote to Venice’s patron church, overlooking other important local institutions.47 Most of the compilations of sacred music (not only for Vespers) by Venetian composers refer to their possible performance at St Mark’s, even though,

---

46 This information appears in the short introductory text by Alessandrini in the booklet attached to the album, entitled ‘Monteverdi in Venice’ (pp. 16–21). According to the author, the Church of St Barbara is a ‘natural substitute’ for the Venetian temple: ‘The decision to make the present recording in the palatine basilica of Santa Barbara in Mantua offered the “natural” substitute for St Mark’s, which for obvious reasons was not available to us’, p. 18.

as numerous studies have shown, we can almost never be certain that their compositions were linked to that particular church.\(^4\) Suffice it to mention Andrew Parrott’s recording from 1989 containing a reconstruction of the solemn thanksgiving mass which took place at St Mark’s in 1631 at the end of the plague. This album, which draws music largely from Monteverdi’s \textit{Selva Morale}, has received robust criticism for the historically inappropriate selection of pieces in connection with the reconstructed event.\(^4\) It is rather amusing to note that there is, in fact, Vespers music strongly linked to the practice at St Mark’s, but it is rarely recorded.\(^5\) I am thinking of the so-called \textit{salmi brevi} for two choirs, composed and performed at St Mark’s. Evidently, \textit{salmi brevi} are regarded as not as attractive as the more ambitious concertato psalm settings by Monteverdi, suggesting that in this case aesthetic evaluation overrides historical evidence. Among the analysed albums, I did not find a single recording of Vespers for the most important feast of the Roman Catholic Church: Easter. Within the context of the rite of St Mark’s in Venice, such an arrangement might prove particularly interesting, given the numerous oddities deriving from the local rite used in that church.\(^5\) Of all the recordings analysed here, not including Christmas feast days of a strongly Marian tinge, just a single album is dedicated to Christ – the central figure in most liturgical practice (at least in theory).\(^5\) Extant breviaries and the huge number of still unrecorded Vespers works allow for what is practically an infinite number of new ‘reconstructions’. Against this background, it seems that the huge popularity of Monteverdi’s Vespers 1610 has accustomed listeners and musicians to think of Vespers as a Marian service.\(^5\) Indeed, the vast majority of Vespers reconstructions in my sample are linked to Marian feast days. In some instances, when the sequence of psalms on the disc does not correspond to that established for Marian feast days (\textit{Dixit dominus, Laudate pueri, Laetatus sum, Nisi Dominus, Lauda Jerusalem}), the figure of Mary nevertheless appears on the cover.\(^5\)


\(^5\) Monteverdi. \textit{Vesper zum Fest Christi Himmelfahrt}, Howard Arman, Capriccio 1996.


\(^5\) See, for example, Fabri. \textit{Vesperae a quattour choris a san Luigi dei Francesi}, Bernard Fabre-Garus, Naïve 1999.
WAITING FOR ANOTHER VESPERS BY MONTEVERDI

In an important contribution on the ‘powers of imagination’, Marcin Napiórkowski stated that ‘people dealing with the past play different games based on different rules. One of the rules of each of them is the belief that we are all playing the same game’. Against this background, it would seem impossible to refer to the legacy of historiography, musicological research and phonography as a complementary whole. I have endeavoured to show that ‘newly constructed’ Vespers often not only fail to fill the gaps in repertoire yet to be recorded, but actually create an unpredictable list of imaginary settings, and also that music albums are vehicles not only of the recorded music but also of knowledge related to it. I mean here not only the information which can be read in the liner notes but also the message – sometimes deliberately hidden, sometimes completely accidental – which emerges from the combination of the text and the graphic design of the front album cover.

On the front covers of some recordings, we find information about the provenance of the works, and also to the effect that we are essentially dealing with a present-day compilation of compositions from specific collections. (Significantly, it is more often small record labels that display such ‘honesty’. The lack of such details might be justified, of course, by design priorities.) On the above-mentioned recording of the hypothetical Monteverdi Vespers for St Barbara’s Day, the reverse side of the cover carries information about who produced the ‘reconstruction’ and a list of tracks which the listener should programme in order to hear only original music by Monteverdi.

The question arises as to how we should define recordings on which certain questions have been passed over. Are we entitled to use the word ‘dishonesty’, for example, in the case of an album of Francisco Guerrero’s Vespers for All Saints’ Day, on which two of the five psalms were not composed by him (as we only learn on reading the booklet)? Does the composer’s name as given on the cover mean the same as the name of a book’s author? The history of record covers is connected to some extent to book cover design. For instance, album covers of early music recordings issued by Archiv Produktion in the 1950s were modelled on the covers of academic books, as noted by Vincenzo Borghetti. It is hardly surprising that we very often fail to

---

57 See the back cover of the Second Vespers for the Feast of Santa Barbara, Harry Christophers, Hyperion 1988.
58 K. Edge, The Art of Selling Songs, p. 91.
59 V. Borghetti, Purezza e trasgressione’, p. 59.
ask ourselves about the link between a composer’s name and the title of a work. We
do not generally process content of that sort; we usually consider that it does not
require our vigilance or verification – unless we are particularly interested in the
relevant field or enthusiasts of conspiracy theories. After all, 'what we see exists', so
why should it not have existed before? Perhaps everything would change if listeners
developed the capacity to perceive a composer’s name (only) as part of an album title?

Phonography has undoubtedly enlivened discussion between musicologists and
musicians. Performers can achieve 'much more' than scholars, as in the case of the
above-mentioned recording of the Vespers for St Barbara, removing a question mark
raised by a scholar, who in any case was merely advancing a hypothesis. As a con-
sequence, we find on the recording market more works (or rather titles) by some
composers than in the catalogues of their output.

Although their liturgical context is frequently invoked, it is self-evident that Vespers
or masses by Monteverdi and other composers have long since functioned outside the
liturgy. Even reconstructions on which we hear liturgically adequate antiphons, the
ringing of the authentic bell of St Mark’s at the beginning of the service\textsuperscript{60} or the firing
of cannons between lines of the Magnificat\textsuperscript{61} will not recreate the original cultural
context, which will remain beyond our cognitive capacities. This may also confirm the
truism that the meaning of a given music work is never finally established. The music
industry has 'finally' offered a home for the ‘homeless’ Vespers 1610, and it would be
difficult to find a more splendid and widely recognisable edifice as St Mark's church
in Venice. It is worth noting that sometimes such a connection can be imposed on
a particular recording completely ‘from outside’ (without the intention of musicians or
producers). In one of her articles, the architectural historian Deborah Howard analyses
recordings linked to the repertoire of St Mark’s. As we read at the start of that text:
‘This essay reviews a range of commercial recordings of music written for St Mark’s
in relation to the real acoustic conditions of the basilica’. Howard writes of the
above-mentioned recording by Gardiner and, although aware that those compositions
were not written with that church in mind, she analyses other recordings of the Vespers
1610 within the context of the ‘actual’ – as she asserts – acoustic conditions of St Mark’s,
despite the fact that the artists behind the analysed albums did not state that they were
thinking about San Marco when recording the music.\textsuperscript{62}

On other occasions when musicians/producers do not share with the listeners any
information about the possible original place of performance, it can be considered

\textsuperscript{60} The ringing of the bell of St Mark’s can be heard at the beginning of \textit{Lo sposalizio. Music by Giovanni 
& Andrea Gabrieli and Others}, Robert King, Hyperion 1998. The rest of the material was recorded in
London, as we learn from the booklet.

\textsuperscript{61} Cannons can be heard in: \textit{Italian Vespers 1612}, Robert Hollingworth, Decca 2012.

\textsuperscript{62} Deborah Howard, 'Recordings of Music Written for St. Mark’s: An Architectural Historian’s View’, in:
Modern Italy}, Rochester 2013, p. 89.
paradoxically both as a disadvantage or an advantage, depending on the listener’s expectations. On the recording of the Vespers 1610 by the King’s Consort (Hyperion 2007), David Allison writes: ‘Those who listen while wearing their musicological hat may be disappointed to know that King’s new version does not attempt to “situate” the Vespers in a particular church institution, nor to recreate a liturgical context for it – despite, or perhaps because of, all the modern contention about the particular feast Monteverdi had in mind when composing the collection’.63

The question also arises as to whether some musicians or producers deliberately conceal certain information in order to strengthen their message and sell their ‘new’, yet at the same time historically ‘authentic’, product.64 Do producers purposely not inform us about what is ‘true’ and what is ‘constructed’, in order not to disappoint and lose listeners? Or perhaps, in some instances, instead of marketing theory, we should refer to the process of myth creation? After all, ‘the creation of myths must be removed from the public gaze in order for them to conserve their power to generate meaning. It would not be easy to believe in something knowing how it was made’.65 Posing the question in yet another light, is it not through ‘little deceptions’ that a given repertoire is able to reach a wider audience? A good example is Jean Richafort’s Requiem, often advertised as ‘in memoriam Josquin Desprez’, although that is merely a musicological hypothesis far from being proven.66 The desire to promote a less familiar composer with the help of a recognisable name is sometimes counter-balanced by some ‘musicological’ concerns, which probably led to the introduction of square brackets enclosing the phrase ‘in memoriam Josquin Desprez’ in the re-issue of one album containing Richafort’s work.67

The aim of this essay was to pose several questions to which I do not even attempt to find a single simple answer. Neither do I make any postulates in relation to musicologists or musicians and cover designers. It is also not my intention to fight with the myths we all create. I hope at least that this article will have a positive impact on music lovers and their perception of early music recordings not as ‘historically authentic’ products but as valuable attempts by contemporary musicians and scholars to excite our curiosity of the music of the past. I consider bold and sometimes provocative recordings to be equally as important and necessary as lucid and robust musicological polemics. Thanks to them, musicologists can listen to musicians, musicians can read musicologists, and listeners might never be lacking ‘new works’ by

64 Cf. N. Wilson, The Art of Re-enchantment, pp. 13, 154, 206.
65 ‘…proces tworzenia mitów musi być usunięty z widoku publicznego, by zachowały one swą sensotwórczą moc. Nie byłoby łatwo wierzyć w coś, o czym wiemy, jak jest zrobione’; M. Napiórkowski, Władza wyobraźni, p. 301.
67 Richafort. Requiem [in memoriam Josquin Desprez], Paul Van Nevel, Harmonia Mundi 2013. There was no bracket in the first release of the recording in 2002.
their favourite composers. And thanks to the efforts of Gardiner mentioned at the beginning, no one can say today that Monteverdi’s *Vespro della Beata Vergine* was never performed in the church of St Mark in Venice – and by British musicians, to boot!

**BIBLIOGRAPHY**


Niniejszy artykuł dotyczy pojęcia „nieszpory Monteverdiego” we współczesnej fonograficznej recepcji twórczości kompozytora. Pojęcie to oznacza zarówno utwory składające się na wydane w 1610 r. Vespro della Beata Vergine Claudia Monteverdiego, jak i współczesne kompilacje utworów kompozytora opatrywanych przez muzyków, muzykologów i producentów określeniem „nieszpory”. Bogaty zasób zachowanych w bibliotekach i archiwach kompozycji nieszporych wciąż znacznie przewyższa liczbę ich nagrań czy wykonań. Same zaś nieszpory Monteverdiego, stanowią większość wyprodukowanych dotąd dźwiękowych zapisów polifonicznego repertuaru nieszpornego różnych autorów z całego XVII w. i tym samym ważny punkt odniesienia. Analizowany materiał dyskograficzny stanowi ok. 500 albumów (nie tylko z muzyką nieszporaną) wydanych w l. 1952–2019. Skupiając się na zawartych treściach ikonograficznych i typograficznych w projektach graficznych albumów muzycznych, autor stawia pytania o sposób kształtowania się współczesnych wyobrażeń na temat tego repertuaru oraz postaci i miejsc z nim związanych w kontekście fonografii.
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